

Group VI: Claims 16 and 17, drawn to a method of producing an amino acid by culturing a coryneform bacterium.

Applicants elect, with traverse, Group III, Claims 12 and 14.

Applicants respectfully traverse the Restriction Requirement on the grounds that no adequate reasons and/or examples have been provided to support a conclusion of patentable distinctness between the identified groups or shown that a burden exists in searching all the claims.

Moreover, the MPEP in §803 states as follows:

“If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without a serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.”

Applicants respectfully submit that a search of all the claims would not impose a serious burden on the Office.

Accordingly, and for the reasons presented above, Applicants submit that the Office has failed to meet the burden necessary in order to sustain the Restriction Requirement. Withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement is respectfully requested.

Applicants respectfully submit that the above-identified application is now in  
condition for examination on the merits, and early notice of such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Norman F. Oblon  
Attorney of Record  
Registration No.: 24,618

Kirsten A. Grueneberg, Ph.D.  
Registration No.: 47,297



**22850**

PHONE: (703) 413-3000

FAX: (703) 413-2220

NFO:KAG:lcd

L:\user\KGRUN\001011080CONT-Rest.Resp.wpd